UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,805	02/21/2002	William E. Ortyn	BIOL0038	2051	
LAW OFFICES OF RONALD M. ANDERSON Suite 507			EXAMINER		
			YANG, NELSON C		
600 - 108th Avenue N.E. Bellevue, WA 98004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1641		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/082,805	ORTYN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nelson Yang	1641			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICH - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Au</u>	igust 2008.				
•		action is non-final.				
<i>,</i> —	, _					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims					
4)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>34,35,37-40,42-44,46,49-51,54,56-58</u>	.60 and 61 is/are pending in the a	application.			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌 (_					
6)🛛 (6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34,35,37-40,42-44,46,49-51,54,56-58,60 and 61</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicatio	on Papers					
9)□ ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examine	·.				
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>21 February 2002</u> is/are	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected	d to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/082,805 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's amendment of claims 34, 37, 39, 42, 50, 56, 60 is acknowledged and has been entered.
- 2. Claims 34, 35, 37-40, 42-44, 46, 49-51, 54, 56-58, 60, and 61 are currently under examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 34, 35, 37-40, 42-44, 46, 49-51, 54, 56-58, 60-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Stern [US 5,981,956] in view of Hatlestad et al. [US 5,621,460].

With respect to claims 34, 42, 54, 56, 60, 61, Stern teaches an array comprising regions (features - column 7, lines 10-15) containing different polymer sequences to be coupled in different known locations on the substrate surface (object) (column 5, lines 48-60). Target sequences labeled with detectable groups (probes) are contacted with the array (column 6, lines 52-60), where multiple probes may be used (column 8, lines 25-30). Dichroic beam splitters are used to separate signals from label groups having different response radiation wavelengths, thereby allowing simultaneous detection of multiple fluorescent indicators, and thus

simultaneous interrogation of a single array with multiple target sequences (column 10, lines 15-35), where the response radiation from the targets are individually detected through additional detectors such as photomultiplier tubes (column 10, lines 33-50). Stern fails to teach the use of a single detector.

Hatlestad et al., however, teach separating an image into three or more separate or overlapping images on a CCD array in order to derive various relationships of the filtered images to each other (column 5, lines 40-48). Hatlestad et al. further teach that these variations and modifications allow for the detection and differentiation of various objects in an imaged area (column 5, lines 48-53), while minimizing the utilization of expensive cameras and optical systems, and avoiding the bulk of additional CCD cameras (column 2, lines 40-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used the CCD array of Hatlestad et al. in the invention of Stern, in order to minimize the utilization of expensive cameras and optical systems, and avoid the bulk of additional CCD cameras, while allowing for the detection and differentiation of various objects in an imaged area.

- 5. With respect to claims 35, 43, 57, Stern discloses that target sequences labeled with a detectable group (probes) are contacted with the array (column 6, lines 52-60), which would be specific and bind to a complementary sequence.
- 6. With respect to claims 37, 51, Stern discloses the detection of relatively weak signals such as fluorescence, which would come from the labels (column 12, lines 35-40).
- 7. With respect to claims 38, 44, Stern discloses that the targets may include cells (column 4, lines 40-50).

Application/Control Number: 10/082,805

Art Unit: 1641

8. With respect to claims 40, 46, 58, Stern teaches that multiple probes may be used (column 8, lines 25-30), where different labels bind to different locations, such that information based on the locations can be extracted (column 7, lines 35-45).

Page 4

- 9. With respect to claims 49, Stern discloses that the labels may be fluorescent (column 10, lines 28-31).
- 10. With respect to claim 39, 50, Hatlestad et al. teach separating an image into three or more separate or overlapping images on a CCD array in order to derive various relationships of the filtered images to each other (column 5, lines 40-48). This would allow for the simultaneous collection of the images onto separate areas of the CCD array and differentiation of the filtered images from one another, as well as determination of a spectral signature based on the presence of a first and second signaling components, wherein each of the signaling components would be located a different filtered image such as near infrared and red images (column 3, lines 1-16).

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 34, 35, 37-40, 42-44, 46, 49-51, 54, 56-58, 60, and 61 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 12. No claims are allowed.
- 13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1641

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson Yang whose telephone number is (571)272-0826. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Shibuya can be reached on (571)272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,805

Page 6

Art Unit: 1641

/Nelson Yang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1641